



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/015,636	12/17/2001	Pierre-Louis Charvet	217216US2	7560

22850 7590 06/03/2003

OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.  
1940 DUKE STREET  
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314

[REDACTED] EXAMINER

VORTMAN, ANATOLY

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2835	

DATE MAILED: 06/03/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/015,636	CHARVET ET AL.
<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
Anatoly Vortman	2835	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Examiner Anatoly Vortman.  
 (2) Mr. Robert Pous, Reg. No. 29,099.

(3) Mr. Mardson McQuay, Reg. 52,020.  
 (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 30 May 2003.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
 c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.  
 If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and proposed claims 13 and 14.

Identification of prior art discussed: US/5,467,068.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The differences between the US/5,467,068 and present invention have been discussed. The Applicant's representative suggested to introduce new limitation "a quasi-adiabatic resistor means" into the proposed independent claims, which would distinguish the claimed invention from the aforementioned prior art.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

ANATOLY VORTMAN  
 PRIMARY EXAMINER

05/30/03

A. Vale —

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required